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Chloroplast RNase J compensates for inefficient transcription termination by removal of antisense RNA
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ABSTRACT
Ribonuclease J is an essential enzyme, and the Bacillus subtilis ortholog possesses both endoribonuclease and 5′ → 3′ exoribonuclease activities. Chloroplasts also contain RNase J, which has been postulated to participate, as both an exo- and endonuclease, in the maturation of polycistronic mRNAs. Here we have examined recombinant Arabidopsis RNase J and found both 5′ → 3′ exoribonuclease and endonucleolytic activities. Virus-induced gene silencing was used to reduce RNase J expression in Arabidopsis and Nicotiana benthamiana, leading to chlorosis but surprisingly few disruptions in the cleavage of polycistronic rRNA and mRNA precursors. In contrast, antisense RNAs accumulated massively, suggesting that the failure of chloroplast RNA polymerase to terminate effectively leads to extensive symmetric transcription products that are normally eliminated by RNase J. Mung bean nuclease digestion and polysome analysis revealed that this antisense RNA forms duplexes with sense strand transcripts and prevents their translation. We conclude that a major role of chloroplast RNase J is RNA surveillance to prevent overaccumulation of antisense RNA, which would otherwise exert deleterious effects on chloroplast gene expression.
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INTRODUCTION
The chloroplast is a semi-autonomous organelle derived from a cyanobacterial ancestor. The chloroplast combines bacterial and acquired eukaryotic traits, and among chloroplast functions that have particularly complex origins are the various steps of gene expression (Stern et al. 2010; Barkan 2011). For example, chloroplasts have a sub bacterial RNA polymerase, prokaryotic-type operons, and bacterial-like ribosomes. On the other hand, a second, phage-like, RNA polymerase was probably acquired through horizontal gene transfer; polycistronic transcripts are generally processed to give rise to smaller species, and chloroplasts possess numerous introns and frequently modify transcripts by C-to-U RNA editing. These characteristics raise the question of how retained prokaryotic mechanisms are intertwined with eukaryotic ones.

Chloroplast RNA metabolism is an aspect of gene expression that possesses mechanisms of dual origins. RNA splicing, RNA editing, and RNA stability all are heavily dependent on a variety of RNA-binding proteins that are not found in prokaryotes. These include members of the pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) family, which is massively expanded in plants and primarily regulates organellar genes (for review, see Schmitz-Linneweber and Small 2008), as well as other families. On the other hand, the catalytic enzymes of RNA metabolism, both endo- and exoribonucleases, are mainly recognizable as prokaryotic.

The major chloroplast endoribonucleases so far described are RNase E, which is also found in prokaryotes, and CSP41, which appears to be plant-specific. The RNase E catalytic domain has a similar activity to its Escherichia coli counterpart (Schein et al. 2008), but the protein also contains a long and variable plant-specific domain of unknown function. RNase E is essential for photosynthesis, and null mutants are stunted and chlorotic (Mudd et al. 2008). Arabidopsis rne mutants accumulate some transcript precursors, and its phenotype has been proposed to result from poor expression of one or more ribosomal protein transcripts (Walter et al. 2010). CSP41 is a heterodimer of two related proteins, and double mutants have a mild growth defect and few RNA aberrations (Bollenbach et al. 2009), although CSP41 has strong endonucleolytic activity in vitro (Yang et al. 1996).
Two chloroplast exoribonucleases have been studied, RNase R and polynucleotide phosphorylase (PNPase). RNase R mutants have a similar growth phenotype to rne mutants and have defects in the processing of some rRNAs (Kishine et al. 2004; Bollenbach et al. 2005). The rnr mutant also overaccumulates an antisense RNA (asRNA) complementary to 5S rRNA precursors, which we have proposed leads to depletion of 5S rRNA and inefficient translation (Sharwood et al. 2011). PNPase has both 3′ leads to depletion of 5S rRNA and inefficient translation also overaccumulates an antisense RNA (asRNA) complementary to 5S rRNA precursors (Kishine et al. 2004; Bollenbach et al. 2005). The mutants and have defects in the processing of some rRNAs (Yehudai-Resheff et al. 2003) and is involved both in polyadenylation, which destabilizes chloroplast transcripts, and in transcript maturation. PNPase mutants have chlorotic young leaves but are fertile (Marchive et al. 2009) and have multiple defects in 3′-end maturation as well as intron degradation (Walter et al. 2002; Germain et al. 2011).

A chloroplast enzyme whose function remains enigmatic is RNase J, which has been identified through proteomics (Kleffmann et al. 2004) and whose absence is known to cause embryo lethality (Tzafrir et al. 2004). RNase J was discovered in Bacillus subtilis (Even et al. 2005), which lacks RNase E and is found as a heterotetramer of RNase J1 and the related RNase J2 (for review, see Condon 2010). RNase J contains a β-CASP metallo-β-lactamase fold and is essential for viability in B. subtilis, although the molecular basis for this is still unclear. RNase J is not found in E. coli; however, it is present in cyanobacteria and Arabidopsis (Clouet-d’Orval et al. 2010; Hasenohrl et al. 2011).

RNase J from B. subtilis was found to have both endonucleolytic and exonucleolytic activities in vitro, with the exonucleolytic activity being more robust (Deikus et al. 2008). Bacterial RNase J catalyzes 5′ → 3′ degradation (Mathy et al. 2007), which is most efficient with a 5′ mono-phosphate or hydroxyl due to the structure of the catalytic site (de la Sierra-Gallay et al. 2008). Thus, primary triphosphorylated transcripts are thought to be largely impervious to RNase J exonucleolytic attack. Chloroplasts are known to have a net 5′ → 3′ RNA degradation pathway (Drager et al. 1999; Hicks et al. 2002), and RNase J has also been proposed to catalyze 5′ end trimming of monocistronic RNAs and polycistronic transcript derivatives, being stalled by gene-specific RNA binding proteins (see Fig. 2 in Barkan 2011). Because the endonucleolytic activity of RNase J requires high enzyme concentrations in vitro, it is unclear whether this activity is relevant to its in vivo function. We show here that the recombinant plant enzyme catalyzes both 5′ → 3′ exonucleolytic and significant endonucleolytic activities.

To deduce the role of RNase J in chloroplast RNA metabolism, we have used Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS) to repress expression of the encoding gene. While we did detect modest changes in the patterns of rRNAs and mRNAs, most striking was a massive accumulation of asRNA. Our data suggest that this asRNA sequesters sense transcripts in dsRNA duplexes, preventing efficient translation and ultimately causing the observed lethality of RNase J null mutants.

RESULTS

In vitro activity of chloroplast RNase J

Figure 1A compares the domain structures of RNase J from B. subtilis with several predicted plant sequences including the two studied here, Arabidopsis thaliana and Nicotiana benthamiana. Each protein includes the signature domains of RNase J as a metallo-β-lactamase protein, denoted motifs I–IV and A–C. The plant proteins in addition possess short N-terminal extensions, which, in the case of the Arabidopsis protein, was demonstrated by transient expression of YFP fusions in protoplasts to confer chloroplast targeting (Supplemental Fig. S1). In our experiments no evidence for mitochondrial localization was observed, nor has RNase J been reported in mitochondrial proteomes. One intriguing feature of the plant enzymes is a putative C-terminal GT-1-like DNA-binding domain (Lam 1995), whose properties remain to be tested.

To explore the catalytic properties of chloroplast RNase J, the recombinant Arabidopsis protein, minus the chloroplast transit peptide, was expressed and purified (Fig. 1B). To determine whether RNase J could catalyze exonucleolytic digestion, a uniformly [α-32P]UTP-labeled synthetic transcript corresponding to a portion of the chloroplast petD gene was incubated with the enzyme, and the products were analyzed by thin layer chromatography (Fig. 1C). Because bacterial RNase J poorly digests triphosphorylated substrates exonucleolytically, we compared activity on triphosphorylated, monophosphorylated, and 5′-hydroxylated versions. The results (Fig. 1C) demonstrated that RNase J generated similar amounts of UMP from each substrate after a 4-h incubation, confirming its exonucleolytic activity. Since the archael and bacterial enzymes discriminate for monophosphate at the 5′ end (e.g., Hasenohrl et al. 2011), there are two ways to interpret these results. The first one is that Arabidopsis RNase J, unlike the other RNase J enzymes characterized so far, degrades exonucleolytically 5′-end mono- and triphosphorylated RNAs at a similar rate. The second possibility is that although the exoribonucleolytic activity is sensitive to the nature of the 5′ end, the Arabidopsis enzyme has strong endonucleolytic activity that produces 5′-monophosphorylated molecules that are then rapidly degraded by the exonuclease activity. This would lead to similar apparent exonucleolytic degradation rates for the two substrates when incubated for longer periods.

To check for endonucleolytic activity, we analyzed the activity of RNase J on a 5′-[32P] labeled petD substrate harboring one or three phosphates at their 5′ ends, reasoning that only endonucleolytic cleavage products would retain the [32P] label, since any 5′ → 3′ exonucleolytic activity would remove it. As shown in Figure 1D, recombinant RNase J...
generated discrete endonucleolytic 5’-labeled cleavage products, and the kinetics of their appearance were similar for RNA with mono- or triphosphates at the 5’ end. Hence, chloroplast RNase J appears to harbor both exo- and endonuclease activity under in vitro conditions, and the endonuclease activity is insensitive to the number of phosphates at the 5’ end. To analyze if the exonuclease activity is sensitive to the number of phosphates, the initial degradation rates of mono- and triphosphorylated RNAs, labeled at their 5’ ends, were analyzed by TLC by detecting the released 5’-end mononucleotide (Fig. 1E). Although the amount of signal obtained by analysis at these short incubation times was low as compared to the 4-h time point shown in Figure 1C, a higher accumulation of GMP from the monophosphorylated RNA was repeatedly observed. Together, these results show that the Arabidopsis RNase J is active both as a 5’ → 3’ exonuclease and an endonuclease, and that as for other RNase J enzymes, the exonuclease activity prefers 5’-monophosphorylated molecules. However, due to the relatively robust endonuclease activity that is insensitive to the nature of the 5’ end and produces 5’-monophosphorylated cleavage products, the apparent degradation rates of uniformly labeled molecules harboring one or three phosphates at the 5’ end is similar.

**Repression of RNase J expression leads to chlorosis and more diffuse RNA patterns**

Because RNase J null mutants cannot be propagated, we used VIGS to silence RNase J partially. To control for any off-target effects, we initially compared results for Arabidopsis and N. benthamiana (tobacco). We also used two different constructs for tobacco, targeting different segments (T1 and T2) of the RNJ transcript (see Materials and Methods). These constructs gave indistinguishable results; therefore, only data from T1-infiltrated plants are shown here.

Figure 2A shows the phenotypes of Arabidopsis plants 25 d after infiltration with Agrobacterium carrying the VIGS vector only, a construct targeting the carotenoid biosynthesis enzyme phytoene desaturase (PDS), or the RNase J T1 construct. As expected, plants infiltrated with only the vector showed no phenotypic effects, and the

![FIGURE 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)
expected patchy chlorosis was observed when carotenoid synthesis was blocked (PDS). For RNase J, a slightly milder chlorosis was observed in the basal portion of emerging leaves, indicating that the expression of RNJ is required for photosynthetic competence. To verify that the RNJ gene was being silenced, RT-PCR was used. This confirmed that RNJ mRNA was reduced by ~70%, when compared with two control nuclear genes. We do not yet possess an antibody that can measure the RNase J protein level; however, it is reasonable to assume that RNJ transcript depletion leads to a reduction of the enzyme level, which is, in turn, responsible for the plant phenotype. When the experiment was repeated in tobacco, comparable results were obtained, as shown in Figure 2B, although chlorosis eventually spread to the entire leaf. We conclude that partial silencing of RNase J expression leads to chlorosis, suggesting an impact on chloroplast gene expression.

To gain a general picture of the effects of RNase J depletion on chloroplast transcripts, we performed a series of RNA gel blots, and comparisons of *Arabidopsis* and tobacco for three representative genes are shown in Figure 3. When rRNAs were examined, we observed a decrease in the abundance of mature species for both 16S (Supplemental Fig. S2, left side) and 23S (Fig. 3A) rRNAs, and in the case of *Arabidopsis*, a concomitant accumulation of precursors. This phenotype is commonly observed in chloroplast gene expression mutants, however, and may be a pleiotropic consequence of ribosome assembly defects (Barkan 1993; Yu et al. 2008 and references therein). We also compared the 5' termini of 16S and 23S rRNAs between control and RNase J–deficient plants by gel analysis of 5'-RACE products; RNase J has been implicated in maturation of both the 16S and 23S rRNA 5' ends in bacteria (Britton et al. 2007; Madhugiri and Evgeniev-Hackenberg 2009). We found that RNase J deficiency resulted in a series of 5' extensions of 16S rRNA and the presence of a single 5'-extended species for 23S rRNA (Supplemental Fig. S2, right side), suggestive of a conserved function. Finally, the 23S rRNA probe identified a higher-molecular-weight smear (indicated by a bracket for tobacco), which was not further investigated.

When two mRNAs, *atpBE* (Fig. 3B) and *rbcL* (Fig. 3C), were examined, a variety of effects were observed. In the case of *atpBE*, the predominant WT species were still present, but in diminished abundance, particularly in tobacco. At the same time, higher-molecular-weight smears were seen for both species, along with a few discrete transcripts (brackets). For *rbcL*, no significant change was seen for *Arabidopsis*, whereas in tobacco the WT species underaccumulated, suggesting that *rbcL* is less sensitive than *atpBE* to the RNase J level. In addition, for *rbcL*, only a faint higher-molecular-weight smear was observed. While low-molecular-weight smearing is generally a sign of RNA degradation artifacts, the longer transcripts observed here do not suggest this interpretation. Instead, this result was consistent with a role of RNase J in maturing these mRNAs.

Because the rRNA results led us to infer that ribosome assembly might be perturbed, we examined transcripts encoding ribosomal proteins, as exemplified in Figure 4 (from this point forward, only results from tobacco are shown). The three genes shown are members of the S10 operon, which generates the complex transcript patterns typical of chloroplasts. In all cases, results analogous to those observed for *atpBE* were obtained. Each probe detected the same bands as seen in WT or vector control material, but at a diminished level, while additional higher- and lower-molecular-weight bands, and a diffuse smear, were also present. It would not be surprising if
these altered RNA patterns caused reduced expression of the encoded proteins, although we have not tested this directly. In summary, RNase J depletion similarly affects RNAs emanating from monocistronic genes (atpBE and rbcL) and polycistronic gene clusters. In examining other chloroplast genes, a consistent story emerged (Supplemental Fig. S2). We conclude that RNase J has a global role in chloroplast RNA metabolism, especially where mRNAs are concerned.

Diffuse transcripts and novel bands are mainly antisense RNAs

Although our initial hypothesis, as stated above, was that RNase J–deficient material was accumulating maturation and possibly degradation intermediates, we elected to repeat our gel blots using strand-specific probes. We reasoned that several recent reports, including our own, had described the accumulation of chloroplast antisense or non-coding RNAs (Lung et al. 2006; Georg et al. 2010; Hotto et al. 2010), and that these might be substrates for RNase J. If this were the case, they could overaccumulate in mutant material. Figure 5 demonstrates that this is, indeed, the case for atpBE, rbcL, and clpP. When probes were used that specifically detect sense strand transcripts, mainly quantitative differences were observed. The decreased abundance for atpBE and rbcL was consistent with our interpretations from double-stranded probes and also probably reflects a generally decreased level of chloroplast transcripts, as can be seen by the reduced accumulation of chloroplast rRNAs in the ethidium bromide–stained gel (top left panel). In contrast, clpP mRNA abundance appeared to increase, although the transcript pattern did not change.

A very different picture emerged when probes were used to detect asRNAs. For all three genes examined, a disperse set of transcripts accumulated. For atpBE, two relatively distinct species of 3–4 kb were seen, as well as indistinct hybridization down to a size slightly larger than the 164-nt 5.8S rRNA, which was used as a loading control. No signal was seen for the WT or vector control. For rbcL, one distinct species slightly larger than the mature sense RNA was seen in both control and VIGS samples; to our knowledge, this species has not been previously reported. In addition, a higher-molecular-weight smear of transcripts was seen in the VIGS material. Results for clpP asRNAs largely paralleled those obtained for rbcL, with a single discrete and multiple diffuse transcripts accumulating in all or VIGS tissues, respectively. In summary, the results in Figure 5 show that for at least three genes, and likely for many or most chloroplast genes, reduction of RNase J expression leads to the accumulation of asRNAs, suggesting that a major and unanticipated role of RNase J in WT plants is to prevent its accumulation. To test whether this accumulated asRNA might be responsible for the deleterious plant growth phenotype, we examined the cases of atpBE and rbcL in more detail.

Antisense RNAs entirely overlap the corresponding coding regions and lead to formation of stable double-stranded molecules

The asRNAs that were detected in Figure 5 were mainly larger than their sense strand counterparts. Their sizes, however, do not permit deduction of their extents with respect to the transcript derived from the opposite strand. To gain more specific information regarding atpBE and rbcL asRNAs, strand-specific probes upstream of and downstream from
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**FIGURE 3.** Transcript analysis from RNase J–deficient Arabidopsis and tobacco. Total RNA was analyzed from Arabidopsis (left gel of each panel) or tobacco (right gel of each panel). (WT) Wild-type untransformed; (V) vector only control; (Green) asymptomatic tissue; (At VIGS or Nb VIGS) independent RNase J–deficient Arabidopsis or tobacco plants, respectively. RNA blots were hybridized with [32P]dCTP-labeled DNA probes to detect (A) 23S rRNA; (B) the dicistronic atpBE transcript, which encodes the ATP synthase β and ε subunits; and (C) rbcL, which encodes the Rubisco large subunit. In panel B, the open and filled arrowheads represent transcription initiation by chloroplast RNA polymerases PEP and NEP, respectively (Schweer et al. 2006). Brackets in panels A and B mark the positions of heterodisperse RNAs discussed in the text.

![Figure 4](image2)

**FIGURE 4.** Ribosomal protein transcript analysis in tobacco. Total RNA was analyzed as described in the legend to Figure 3.
the genes were used, as shown at the bottom of Figure 6. The hybridizations in Figure 6 show that each probe identified VIGS material-specific asRNAs. In addition, the probe upstream of \textit{rbcL} (Pb3) identified a band in WT and vector control samples, which was also seen in Figure 5. Somewhat unexpected was the disparity in patterns when the pairs of probes (Pb1/Pb2 and Pb3/Pb4) were compared for each gene. This result suggests that while asRNAs corresponding to upstream, downstream, and within sense strand coding regions are present, no single major species seems to span the entire region. Thus, many different 5' and 3' ends likely exist, resulting in complex populations of asRNAs.

If asRNAs lead to a chlorotic phenotype in VIGS tissue, it would likely be exerted through pairing with sense transcripts. Some natural bacterial asRNAs, for example, can repress translation by sequestering Shine-Dalgarno elements or other regulatory motifs (for review, see Waters and Storz 2009). In chloroplasts, translation can also be impeded when 5'-UTR sequences are engaged in double-stranded structures, which can be relieved through binding of translation activation factors (Rochaix et al. 1989; Prikryl et al. 2010). To investigate the possible presence of dsRNA in RNase J–depleted material, we used mung bean nuclease (MB), which is a single-strand nucleic acid-specific endonuclease. Total RNA purified under nondenaturing conditions was treated with increasing concentrations of MB, then RNAs were examined by gel blots using strand-specific probes. The presence of MB-insensitive transcripts would indicate the presence of dsRNA segments.

Figure 7, A and B, show results for \textit{rbcL} and \textit{atpBE}, respectively. For both genes, the sense strand RNA (left panels) was fully MB-sensitive in the WT, with 1.5 units causing complete degradation. As shown above, with the exception of a weak band for \textit{rbcL} that was also MB-sensitive, WT does not accumulate asRNAs for these genes (right panels). An opposite result was obtained for VIGS material. For the \textit{rbcL} sense strand, treatment with 15 units of MB revealed a small proportion that was nuclease-insensitive, and up to 150 units of MB did not fully degrade the sense strand. Instead, a smear of RNAs slightly smaller than the full-length sense strand remained. For the \textit{rbcL} antisense strand, a smear of the same apparent size was also revealed following treatment with 150 units of MB. This suggests that there is a set of fully paired dsRNAs with heterogeneous ends. We also noted that the \textit{rbcL} asRNA was completely resistant to 0.15 units of MB, the same concentration that degraded nearly all of the sense RNA in the WT. This suggests that the majority of the asRNAs are engaged in dsRNA structures, but these are likely to contain discontinuities such as bulges, which would be susceptible to higher concentrations of MB. For \textit{atpBE} transcripts in VIGS material, the results paralleled what we observed for \textit{rbcL} except that a higher proportion of sense strand RNA appeared to be MB-resistant, suggesting that much of the \textit{atpBE} mRNA in the VIGS material is partly or fully double-stranded. If so, substantial implications for gene expression would be anticipated.

**Antisense RNA sequesters sense RNA in nonpolysomal fractions**

If the formation of dsRNA is impeding translation, RNase J deficiency should be correlated with diminished loading of
mRNAs onto polysomes. To assess this, total polysomes were sedimented through sucrose gradients, and RNAs from various fractions were analyzed by gel blots, again using strand-specific probes.

Figure 8 shows results for \textit{atpBE}. When the sense strand was analyzed from WT material (Fig. 8, middle left panel), as expected, most \textit{atpBE} transcripts were in the heavier fractions of the gradient, suggesting that they were engaged in translation. When the \textit{atpBE} sense strand was examined in VIGS material (Fig. 8, middle right panel), most of the hybridization was to RNAs in nonpolysomal fractions closer to the top of the gradient, although some sense RNA was found in the polysomal fractions as well. This implies that unpaired RNA is translatable, whereas most or all RNAs engaged in double-stranded structures fail to be translated or are poorly translated.

The lower panels of Figure 8 show results for the antisense strand of \textit{atpBE}. As shown earlier, asRNA does not accumulate in the WT. For the VIGS lines, results were fully coherent with what was observed for the sense strand, implying that dsRNA was sedimenting in nonpolysomal fractions. We subsequently verified that this was, indeed, dsRNA, by treating RNA from polysomal fractions with MB (Supplemental Fig. S3). This experiment also showed that the small amount of sense RNA that was polysome-loaded in the VIGS material was MB-sensitive, confirming that only ssRNA is translatable.

Data for \textit{rbcL} are presented in Supplemental Figure S3. As shown in Figure 7A, the amount of MB-resistant \textit{rbcL} sense strand RNA was relatively small compared with \textit{atpBE}. Indeed, in VIGS material, the polysome profile of \textit{rbcL} was not markedly altered. For \textit{rbcL} asRNA, transcripts were found in the nonpolysomal fractions, as was the case for \textit{atpBE}. However, we speculate that \textit{rbcL} translation is only modestly affected, if at all, in VIGS material, because substantial unpaired sense RNA still accumulates. This implies that depletion of RNase J has variable effects on chloroplast transcripts, depending on the relative proportions of sense and antisense transcripts that accumulate. Given that chloroplast translation is essential for embryo development (Bryant et al. 2010), however, only one ribosomal protein mRNA, for example, would need to be excluded from translation to cause embryo lethality. Given the results here for \textit{atpBE}, which is a highly transcribed gene, and the fact that we are examining only a partial knockdown of RNase J, it seems axiomatic that translational repression is a major, if not the causal factor of the observation that RNase J mutants are embryo-lethal.

\section*{DISCUSSION}

A simple model that takes into account our data, and frames the following discussion, is shown in Figure 9. This model
invokes RNase J as an asRNA surveillance enzyme that eliminates the long antisense transcripts and is required for efficient translation of sense strand transcripts. This does not, however, exclude other roles for RNase J, as discussed below.

One tenet of the model is that asRNA synthesis is a consequence or read-through transcription (Fig. 9A). It has long been known that transcription termination at the 3′ ends of most genes is inefficient in chloroplasts, necessitating RNA maturation mechanisms to create defined 3′ termini (Stern and Gruissem 1987). A second consequence of read-through transcription, however, is the potential to create antisense transcripts, since chloroplast genomes are compact, and in most cases have an apparently random distribution of genes on one strand versus another (Cui et al. 2006). Because chloroplast genomes are polyploid, polymerase collisions due to symmetric transcription are unlikely to be an impediment to gene expression; however, accumulated antisense transcripts could act in trans to do so, as appears to be the case in RNase J–deficient conditions.

While our examination of the chloroplast genome was not complete, the presence of asRNAs appears to be widespread when RNase J is limited. It might be expected that some regions would not accumulate asRNA due to transcription termination. For example, trnS and perhaps other tRNAs are efficient terminators based on in vitro assays (Stern and Gruissem 1987), and the chloroplast eu bacterial enzyme does recognize classical bacterial rho-independent terminators (Chen and Orozco 1988). The long transcripts that accumulate in mutants lacking either the eu bacterial polymerase (Legen et al. 2002) or chloroplast RNase E (Walter et al. 2010), as well as indications from pulse labeling that the chloroplast genome is fully transcribed (Legen et al. 2002), however, suggest that post-transcriptional processes are critical in maintaining the population of discrete, functional RNAs.

The production of possibly deleterious asRNA is also likely to occur in plant mitochondria. It has been shown that 3′-end stem–loop structures that flank plant mitochondrial genes are no more efficient in transcription termination than their chloroplast counterparts (Dombrowski et al. 1997). Evidence from plants depleted for mitochondrial PNPase suggests that in mitochondria, this 3′ → 5′ exoribonuclease is responsible for eliminating these products of “relaxed” transcription (Holec et al. 2006). However, while chloroplast PNPase mutants fail to correctly mature 3′ termini, there is no evidence for widespread accumulation of antisense or non-coding transcripts not found in WT plants (Germain et al. 2011). We hypothesize that in chloroplasts RNase J has assumed this surveillance role, whereas mitochondria, lacking RNase J, depend on PNPase for the same function.

RNase J has been studied in several prokaryotes, although it is not present in E. coli (for review, see Condon 2010). It has been implicated in the trimming of 16S rRNA (Britton et al. 2007; Mathy et al. 2007), and the turnover of small RNAs such as the trp and thrS leaders and ΔermC (Even et al. 2005; Deikus et al. 2008; Yao et al. 2009). There is also evidence that RNase J has a broader role in determining mRNA lifetime (Mader et al. 2008; Bugrysheva and Scott 2010). A role in RNA surveillance, i.e., the prevention of the production of aberrant transcripts, however, has not yet been proposed. The previously assigned functions of RNase J have implicated both its endonucleolytic and exonucleolytic activities. While the Arabidopsis enzyme possesses both properties, three recently characterized RNase J proteins of a hyperthermophilic methanogenic archaeb are specialized; two were found to be exclusively exonucleases and one displayed only endonucleolytic activity (Levy et al. 2011). The proliferation of RNase J family members in this group of archaeb may be related to the likelihood, as suggested by genome sequence examination, that these are the only ribonucleases present in these organisms.

In chloroplasts, RNase J and RNase E have been proposed to be involved in the maturation of polycistronic transcripts that are cleaved into smaller units (Barkan 2011), which would also generate the substrates for RNase J shown in Figure 9B. This hypothesis is based in part on analysis of the PPR10 protein, which defines the 3′ and 5′ ends of two sets of overlapping chloroplast transcripts (Pfalz et al. 2009; Prikryl et al. 2010). PPR10 protects these termini, which are proposed to be generated by stochastic endonucleolytic cleavages outside of the protected region, followed by exonucleolytic trimming. RNase J has two possible functions in this model, cleavage of the primary transcripts, and 5′ → 3′ trimming to generate mature 5′ ends. Given that our recombinant protein possesses a significant endonucleolytic activity, we propose that RNase J may participate in endonuclease cleavages, for example, to remove the 5′ triphosphate, before switching to exonuclease activity.
Because we saw no evidence for accumulation of longer precursors at the expense of mature transcripts, RNase J either does not mediate intercistronic processing or is redundant with another endonuclease. Furthermore, that the asRNA that accumulates in VIGS material apparently does not inhibit endonucleolytic cleavage of polycistronic precursors. On the other hand, our preliminary data suggest that RNase J does trim 5′ ends of maturing sense strand transcripts, as proposed (Barkan 2011). While WT-sized mature transcripts appeared to accumulate on gel blots, 5′ RACE revealed slightly 5′-extended species for atpH, rbcL, and rpl33 (Supplemental Fig. S4). Whether these result from aborted trimming or are directly produced by endonucleolytic cleavages remains to be ascertained.

If RNase J is involved both in maturing functional RNAs and degrading nonfunctional species, it must be able to distinguish between the two. Some functional RNAs are defined by 5′-end RNA-binding proteins that stall 5′ → 3′ exonucleolytic activity (Drager et al. 1998; Nickelsen et al. 1999; Loiselay et al. 2008; Johnson et al. 2010; Prikryl et al. 2010), but other protective mechanisms may also exist. Examples of RNAs probably not protected by PPR-type proteins include natural (i.e., accumulating in the WT) 3′-end RNA-binding proteins that stall 5′ → 3′ exonucleolytic activity (Drager et al. 1999). It will be of interest to determine whether the asRNA that accumulates in VIGS material apparently does not inhibit endonucleolytic cleavage of polycistronic precursors at the expense of mature transcripts.

### Materials and Methods

#### Plant Material

*A. thaliana* (Col-0) plants used for *Agrobacterium* infiltration were grown as described in Sharwood et al. (2011). *N. benthamiana* plants for infiltration were germinated and grown in a greenhouse at 25°C with light provided to ensure a 14-h light/10-h dark cycle.

#### Cloning, Expression, and Purification of Arabidopsis RNase J

*Arabidopsis* RNase J (At5g63420) was PCR-amplified using oligos(dT) cDNA and the primers At RNJ for and At RNJ rev, and cloned into the XhoI and EcoRI restriction sites of the bacterial expression vector pET-28a. In this way, six sequential His residues were added near the N terminus, generating the sequence MGSSHHHHHHSSGLVPRGS1HMASMTGGQMQGRGSEF upstream of codon 71 of RNase J. *E. coli* BL21 Gold cells were transformed with the expression plasmid and grown at 30°C in LB medium with addition of 1 M sorbitol to an O.D.₆₀₀ of 0.6. Protein expression was induced with 0.1 mM IPTG for 16 h at 18°C. To purify the protein, bacteria were disrupted with a microfluidizer and clarified by centrifugation, the NaCl concentration was brought to 1 M, and the soluble fraction was applied to a Tsolan-chelatobind column, eluted with 150 mM imidazole, dialyzed with buffer containing 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.0), 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 0.2 mM DTT. The protein was further purified using a heparin column, passing through Q Sepharose beads and finally dialyzed against a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 8 mM MgCl₂, 5% glycerol, and 0.1 mM DTT. The purified protein was fast-frozen and stored at −80°C. All RNase J preparations were assayed both enzymatically and by immunoblot for contaminating *E. coli* RNase E, RNase II, and R. Only RNase J preparations testing negative for these potential contaminants were used.

#### Preparation of the RNA Substrates for the Degradation Analysis

The DNA construct used for the transcription of RNA corresponding to the 3′ end of the spinach chloroplast petD gene has been described (Stern and Gruissem 1987). In vitro transcription with T7 RNA polymerase and radioactive labeling were performed as previously described (Portnoy et al. 2008). RNA molecules containing 5′-monophosphate or OH were obtained by the addition of 150 mM GMP and guanosine, respectively, to the transcription reaction mixture (Mathy et al. 2007; Schein et al. 2008). 5′-end labeling was performed by first removing a phosphate from the 5′ end with calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase and subsequently adding [³²P]-labeled [³²P]ATP (Schein et al. 2008). A 5′-triphosphorylated transcript that is also [³²P]-labeled at this site was produced by including [³²P]GTP in the reaction mixture as described (Daou-Chabo and Condon 2009).

#### RNA Degradation Assays

In vitro RNA degradation assays were performed using the recombinant protein and either [³²P]UTP-uniformly labeled or 5′ [³²P]-labeled RNA substrates as described above. In a common reaction, a protein (0.2 mM) was incubated at 25°C with 0.06 μM RNA for the times indicated in the figure legends. Following incubation, the RNA was either purified and analyzed by denaturing PAGE or directly applied to a TLC plate (Portnoy et al. 2008). Nucleoside mono-, di-, and triphosphates (5 μg of each) were separated on the same TLC plate and visualized by fluorescence quenching.

#### Virus-Induced Gene Silencing of RNase J

The *Arabidopsis RNJ* locus was targeted for VIGS as previously described (Sharwood et al. 2011). The partial *N. benthamiana RNJ* gene (U431192) was identified in the Solanaceae Genome database (Mueller et al. 2005; http://www.solgenomics.net). Primer pairs were designed to amplify the region of the gene coding for the entire coding region and the intron immediately 5′ of the start codon, generating the sequence MGSSHHHHHHSSGLVPRGS1HMASMTGGQMQGRGSEF upstream of the start codon. The amplified fragment was cloned into the pVT10 vector as an XhoI-EcoRI fragment and the construct was then transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA 105. For VIGS transformation, the bacterial culture was cultured at 30°C for 2 d, and the culture was then grown at 25°C with addition of 1 M sorbitol and 0.1 mM IPTG for 16 h at 18°C. The *Arabidopsis* plants used for Agrobacterium infiltration were grown as described in Sharwood et al. (2011). *N. benthamiana* plants used for infiltration were germinated and grown in a greenhouse at 25°C with light provided to ensure a 14-h light/10-h dark cycle.
RNJ T1 for/rev and RNJ T2 for/rev were used to amplify unique regions of NbRNJ. The amplicons were sequenced and inserted into PYL170 as previously described (Sharwood et al. 2011). VIGS constructs were electroporated into Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 and grown on plates containing 100 μg/mL rifampicin and 50 μg/mL kanamycin. Cultures for infiltration were prepared as described by Sharwood et al. (2011) except the O.D._{600} for infiltration in tobacco leaves was adjusted to 0.4. *N. benthamiana* plants at the 4–5 leaf stage were used for infiltration.

**RNA isolation and gel blot analysis**

RNA was isolated from *Arabidopsis* rosette and tobacco leaves using Tri-Reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For polyosomal RNA analysis, 100 mg of WT and *N. benthamiana* RNase J VIGS tissue was used for extraction, and polysomes were fractionated through 15%–55% sucrose density gradients as previously described (Barkan 1998). RNA was separated in 1.2% formaldehyde-agarose gels buffered by sodium phosphate (pH 6.8) and transferred to GeneScreen N using transfer solution (1 M ammonium acetate and 5 mM NaOH). RNA blots to be hybridized with PCR-generated DNA probes were prehybridized in Church and Gilbert buffer (Church and Gilbert 1984). Blots to be hybridized with synthetic RNA probes (see below) were prehybridized in 50% formamide buffer (5× SSC, 2% [w/v] BSA, 0.6% [w/v] SDS, 200 μg/mL salmon sperm DNA) for >6 h. Hybridization was performed overnight at 65°C. Signal intensity for each blot was measured on a Storm Scanner PhosphorImager.

**Construction of DNA templates for DNA and strand-specific RNA probe synthesis**

DNA probes were amplified for specified plastid genes using the primers listed in Supplemental Table 1. Amplicons were used as templates for random hexamer-primed labeling of DNA probes with [³²P]CTP (3000 Ci/mmol) using Klenow according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The atpB and rbcL DNA probe templates for in vitro RNA synthesis were amplified from *N. benthamiana* plastid DNA using the primer pairs Nb atpB sense T7/Nb atpB Rev, Nb atpB antisense T7/Nb atpB for and Tob rbcL sense T7/Tob rbcL rev, and Tob rbcL antisense T7/Tob rbcL.

**RT-PCR**

To quantitatively determine the residual level of RNJ expression within *Arabidopsis*, RT-PCR was performed with cDNA that was generated by Superscript III from 1.0 μg of RQ1 DNase-treated total RNA using the At-RNJ, At-RNR1, and UBQ10 reverse primers and At RNJ VIGS Rev, RN1R 3' and UBQ2 forward primers, respectively. RNJ- and RNR1-containing cDNA was then amplified using 25 PCR cycles using the primer pairs At RNJ VIGS 5' RT/At RNJ VIGS Rev and RN1R 5'/RN1R 3'. UBQ10 cDNA was amplified as a control (28 cycles) using the primers UBQ1 and UBQ2. For *N. benthamiana* VIGS material, RT-PCR was performed with cDNA generated as described above using the reverse primers Tob RNJ Sq2 rev and Tob Actin Rev, respectively. RNJ and actin cDNAs were amplified using 28 cycles and the primer pairs RNJ SQ2 for/RNJ SQ2 rev and Tob Actin for/Tob Actin Rev. The method for 5' RACE is described in the legend to Supplemental Figure S4 (see Steglich et al. 2008).

**In vivo analysis of RNA duplexes using mung bean nuclease treatment**

Total RNA was extracted from *N. benthamiana* VIGS material and the vector control (V) as described above. Reactions (20 μL) consisting of 1.2 μg of RNA were treated with 1.5–150 U of mung bean nuclease for 15 min at 37°C. For polysome duplex RNA analysis, 10 μL of each fractionated RNA sample was treated with 15 U of mung bean nuclease. Assays were stopped by adding RNA loading buffer and heated for 15 min to 65°C before separation in 1.2% formaldehyde-agarose gels as described above.

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material is available for this article.
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